arXiv:1608.06852v1 [math.AP] 24 Aug 2016

SOLUTIONS OF THE MAIN BAUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS FOR THE TIME-FRACTIONAL TELEGRAPH EQUATION BY THE GREEN FUNCTION METHOD

Murat O. Mamchuev

Abstract

The inhomogenous time-fractional telegraph equation with Caputo derevatives with constant coefficients is considered. For considered equation the general representation of regular solution in rectangular domain is obtained, and the fundamental solution is presented. Using this representation and the properties of fundamental solution, the Cauchy problem and the basic problems in half-strip and rectangular domains are studied. For Cauchy problem the theorems of existence and uniqueness of solution are proved, and the explicit form of solution is constructed. The solutions of the investigated problems are constructed in terms of the appropriate Green functions, which are also constructed an explicit form.

MSC 2010: Primary 33R11; Secondary 35A08, 35A09, 35C05, 35C15, 35E05, 34A08.

Key Words and Phrases: fractional telegraph equation, fractional diffusion-wave equation, fractional advection-dispersion equation, general representation of solution, fundamental solution, Cauchy problem, signalling problem, boundary value problems, Green functions.

1. Introduction

Consider the equation

$$\mathbf{L}u(x,y) \equiv \partial_{0y}^{\alpha} u(x,y) + b\partial_{0y}^{\beta} u(x,y) - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u(x,y) + cu(x,y) = f(x,y), \quad (1.1)$$

where $\alpha = 2\beta \in]0,2[$, b and c are given real numbers, $f(x,y)$ is a given real function, ∂_{0y}^{ν} is the Caputo fractional differentiation operator of order

© Year Diogenes Co., Sofia

pp. xxx–xxx, DOI: .....

ν [15, p. 11]:

$$\partial_{ay}^{\nu} g(y) = \operatorname{sgn}^n(y-a) D_{ay}^{\nu-n} g^{(n)}(y), \quad n-1 < \nu \le n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

D_{ay}^{ν} is the Riemann-Liouville fractional integro-differentiation operator of order ν [15, p. 9]:

$$D_{ay}^{\nu} g(y) = \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(y-a)}{\Gamma(-\nu)} \int_a^y \frac{g(s)ds}{|y-s|^{\nu+1}}, \quad \nu < 0,$$

for $\nu \ge 0$ the operator D_{ay}^{ν} can be determined by recursive relation

$$D_{ay}^{\nu} g(y) = \operatorname{sgn}(y-a) \frac{d}{dy} D_{ay}^{\nu-1} g(y), \quad \nu \ge 0,$$

$\Gamma(z)$ is the gamma-function.

Equations of the form (1.1) are used to describe anomalous diffusion processes observed in experiments related to blood circulation [3], iterated Brownian motion, and telegraph processes with Brownian time [16], [17]. The solution of Cauchy problem for the equation

$$\partial_{0t}^{\alpha} u(x,t) + b\partial_{0t}^{\beta} u(x,t) = c^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u(x,t), \tag{1.2}$$

where $0 < \alpha = 2\beta < 2$, was obtained in [17] in terms of the inverse Fourier transform. A closed-form expression for the solution was obtained for $\beta = 1/2$. Cauchy, signalling and first boundary value problems for the equation (1.2) were studied in [4], [6] by separation of variables and in [6] by the methods Laplace and Fourier integral transforms.

In paper [1] the generalized telegraph equation (1.2) was investigated for the case when $0 < \beta \le \alpha < 2$. The solutions of signalling and Cauchy problems and of a problem in bounded domain with homogenous boundary condition were obtained by using sepatation of variables and Laplace trasform methods. For the case when $0 < \beta, \alpha < 1$ a maximum principle for solution of equation (1.2) in bounded domain was proved. In paper [2] a nonlocal boundary value problem for the equation (1.2) with $0 < \beta \le \alpha < 2$ was studied. The solution is given as an expansion on the generalized eigenfunctions.

Note that the following fractional advection-dispersion equation

$$\partial_{0t}^{\alpha} u(x,t) - D\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u(x,t) + \nu \frac{\partial}{\partial x} u(x,t) + \mu u(x,t) = 0, \tag{1.3}$$

where $D > 0$, ν, and μ is the constants, by the change of unknown function, can be reduced to equation (1.1) with $b = 0$. By using Mellin and Laplace transforms, and properties of H-functions, authors of paper [8] derives the complete solution of equation (1.3). In paper [5] for equation (1.3) the

solutions of problems in half-space and bounded domains were obtained in cases $\mu = 0$ and $\nu = 0$ respectively.

Note also that the boundary value problems for the equation

$$D_{0y}^{\alpha} u(x,y) + bD_{0y}^{\beta} u(x,y) - u_{xx}(x,y) + cu(x,y) = f(x,y), \tag{1.4}$$

with $0 < \alpha = 2\beta < 1$ was studied in [10] and [11], and in the papers [12] and [13] in general case $0 < \alpha = 2\beta < 2$. For equation (1.4) the fundamental solution was constructed, its properties were analyzed, a general representation of solutions was obtained, and Cauchy problem was studied in [12], [13].

For diffusion-wave equation the Green formulas were obtained in papers [18] and [20]. In present paper we obtain the Green formula for operator $\mathbf{L}$ and construct the solutions of basic initial and initial-boundary value problems for equation (1.1).

For an extensive bibliography on this subject see [1], [5], [20], [14] and references therein.

2. **Statement of problem and main results**

We denote

$$\Omega = \{(x,y) : a_1 < x < a_2, 0 < y < T\}, \Omega_y = \{(t,s) :\ a_1 < t < a_2,\ 0 < s < y\}.$$

Definition 2.1. A function $w = w(x,y;t,s)$ we will call a fundamental solution of equation (1.1), if it satisfies the following condition.
1) For arbitrary fixed point $(x,y) \in \Omega$, the function w treated as a function of the variables (t,s), $s < y$, satisfies the equation

$$\mathbf{L}^{*} w(x,y;t,s) \equiv \left(D_{ys}^{\alpha} + bD_{ys}^{\beta} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + c \right) w(x,y;t,s) = 0;$$

2) The relation

$$\lim_{s \to y} \int_{a_1}^{a_2} D_{ys}^{\alpha - 1} w(x,y;t,s) q(t) dt = q(x)$$

holds for each function $q(x) \in C[a_1, a_2]$.

Let us consider the function

$$\Gamma(x,y) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{|x|}^{\infty} h_0(x,\tau) g(y,\tau) d\tau, \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$h_0(x,\tau) =_0 F_1\left[1; a(\tau^2 - x^2)\right], \quad g(y,\tau) = \frac{e^{b_1\tau}}{y}\phi(-\beta, 0; -\tau y^{-\beta}),$$

${}_0F_1(\nu; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\nu)}{\Gamma(k+\nu)} \frac{z^k}{k!}$ is the confluent hypergeometric limit function [7, p. 32], $\phi(\delta, \mu; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\delta k+\mu)} \frac{z^k}{k!}$ is the Wright function [21], $b_1 = -b/2$, and $a = b_1^2 - c$.

THEOREM 2.1. *The function $\Gamma(x-t, y-s)$ is a fundamental solution of equation* (1.1).

Set $J = \{a_1 < x < a_2, y = 0\}$; here and further $n \in \{1, 2\}$ is a number such that $n - 1 < \alpha \le n$.

DEFINITION 2.2. A regular solution of equation (1.1) in a domain Ω is defined as a function $u = u(x, y)$ of the class $u \in C(\overline{\Omega})$, $\frac{\partial^{n-1} u}{\partial y^{n-1}} \in C(\Omega \cup J)$, $\partial_{0y}^{\alpha} u$, $\partial_{0y}^{\beta} u$, $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u \in C(\Omega)$, satisfying equation (1.1) at all points $(x, y) \in \Omega$.

THEOREM 2.2. *Let $f(x, y) \in C(\overline{\Omega})$, and $\tau_k(x) \in C[a_1, a_2]$, $k = 1, n$. Then any regular solution $u(x, y)$ of equation (1.1) in the domain Ω satisfying the condition*

$$\frac{\partial^{k-1}}{\partial y^{k-1}} u(x, 0) = \tau_k(x), \quad a_1 < x < a_2, \quad k = \overline{1, n}, \tag{2.2}$$

and such that $u_x \in C(a_1 \le x \le a_2, 0 < y < T)$, $u_x(a_1, y)$, $u_x(a_2, y) \in L[0, T]$, can be represented in the form

$$u(x,y) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{a_1}^{a_2} \tau_k(t) \left[D_{0y}^{\alpha-k} + (2-k) b D_{0y}^{\beta-k} \right] G(x, y; t, 0) dt +$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{2} (-1)^i \int_0^y [G(x, y; a_i, s) u_t(a_i, s) - G_t(x, y; a_i, s) u(a_i, s)] ds +$$

$$+ \int_{a_1}^{a_2} \int_0^y [G(x, y; t, s) f(t, s) - u(t, s) h(x, y; t, s)] dt ds, \tag{2.3}$$

where $s^{\alpha-n} h(x, y; t, s) \in L(\Omega_y)$, $G(x, y; t, s) = \Gamma(x-t, y-s) - V(x, y; t, s)$, and $V \equiv V(x, y; t, s)$ is a solution of the equation

$$\mathbf{L}^* V(x, y; t, s) = h(x, y; t, s) \tag{2.4}$$

in the class $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}V \in C(\Omega \times \Omega_y) \cup L(\Omega_y)$, $V, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}V, D^{\alpha}_{ys}V, D^{\beta}_{ys}V \in C(\Omega \times \overline{\Omega}_y)$, *with the condition*

$$\lim_{s \to y} D^{\beta k - i}_{ys} V(x, y; t, s) = 0, \quad k = 1, n, \quad i = 1, k. \tag{2.5}$$

Cauchy problem. In the domain $\Omega_0 = \{(x, y) : x \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < y < T\}$, find a solution $u(x, y)$ of equation (1.1) with the condition

$$\frac{\partial^{k-1}}{\partial y^{k-1}} u(x, 0) = \tau_k(x), \quad -\infty < x < \infty, \quad k = 1, n, \tag{2.6}$$

where the $\tau_k(x)$ $k = 1, n$ are given functions.

Definition 2.3. By $C^{1,q}[a_1, a_2]$ we denote the space of continuously differentiable functions on $[a_1, a_2]$ which first derivatives satisfy the Hölder condition with exponent q.

Theorem 2.3. *Let the functions* $\tau_k(x)$ $(k = 1, n)$ *satisfy the conditions*

$$\tau_n(x) \in C(\mathbb{R});$$
$$\tau_1(x) \in C^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}), \quad q > \tfrac{1-\beta}{\beta}, \quad \text{for } n = 2;$$

let $f(x, y) \in C(\bar{\Omega}_0)$,

$$f_y(x, y) \in C(\Omega_0) \cup L(\Omega_0), \tag{2.7}$$

and the relations

$$\tau_k(x) = O(\exp(\rho |x|^{\varepsilon})), \quad f(x, y) = O(\exp(\rho |x|^{\varepsilon})), \tag{2.8}$$

where $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{1-\beta}$ *and* $\rho < (1 - \beta)\left(\frac{\beta}{T}\right)^{\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}}$, *hold as* $|x| \to \infty$. *Then the function*

$$u(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \tau_k(t) \left[D^{\alpha-k}_{0y} + (2 - k) b D^{\beta-k}_{0y} \right] \Gamma(x - t, y) dt +$$

$$+ \int_0^y \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Gamma(x - t, y - s) f(t, s) dt ds \tag{2.9}$$

is a regular solution of the Cauchy problem.

Remark 2.1. For $2/3 < \alpha \leq 1$ instead of the condition (2.7) of Theorem 2.3 is sufficient to require that the function $f(x, y)$ satisfies a Hölder condition with respect to the variable x with exponent $q > \frac{1-2\beta}{\beta}$.

THEOREM 2.4. *There exist at most one regular solution $u(x,y)$ of Cauchy problem in the class of functions satisfying the condition*

$$u(x,y) = O(\exp(k|x|^{\varepsilon})) \quad as \quad |x| \to \infty \tag{2.10}$$

for some $k > 0$.

In the section 6 we will give the statements of the initial boundary value problems for the equation (1.1), and representations of their solutions and of the Green functions.

3. General representation of the solution

For the proof of Theorems 2.1, 2.2 and other assertions, some auxiliary statements which were derived in [12] for the equation (1.4) are needed. Since for function $\Gamma(x,y)$ the relation

$$D^{\nu}_{0y}\Gamma(x,y) = \partial^{\nu}_{0y}\Gamma(x,y), \tag{3.1}$$

holds for all $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all (x,y) such that $x^2+y^2 \neq 0$, then the following lemmas hold also for equation (1.1).

LEMMA 3.1. *The relations*

$$D^{\delta}_{0y}D^{\nu}_{0y}\Gamma(x,y) = D^{\nu}_{0y}D^{\delta}_{0y}\Gamma(x,y) = D^{\delta+\nu}_{0y}\Gamma(x,y) \tag{3.2}$$

hold for arbitrary $\delta, \nu \in \mathbb{R}$.

LEMMA 3.2. *The relation holds*

$$2\frac{\partial^m}{\partial x^m}D^{\nu}_{0y}\Gamma(x,y) = \int\limits_{|x|}^{\infty} D^{\nu-\beta k}_{0y}g(y,\tau)\frac{\partial^m}{\partial x^m}L^k_1 h_0(x,\tau)d\tau -$$

$$-\operatorname{sgn}(m)\operatorname{sgn}(x)\sum_{j=1}^{m}\frac{\partial^{j-1}}{\partial x^{j-1}}\left[D^{\nu-\beta k}_{0y}g(y,|x|)\left(\frac{\partial^{m-j}}{\partial x^{m-j}}L^k_1 h_0(x,\tau)\right)\Big|_{\tau=|x|}\right] +$$

$$+\operatorname{sgn}(k)\sum_{i=1}^{k}\frac{\partial^m}{\partial x^m}\left[D^{\nu-\beta i}_{0y}g(y,|x|)\left(L^{i-1}_1 h_0(x,\tau)\right)\Big|_{\tau=|x|}\right],$$

where $k = 0$ for $\nu \leq 0$, and k such that $\beta(k-1)\nu \leq \beta k$ $(k \in \mathbb{N})$ for $\nu > 0$, is satisfied for arbitrary $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$, and $L_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} + b_1$ is the differential operator of first order.

LEMMA 3.3. *The estimate*

$$\left|\frac{\partial^m}{\partial x^m}D^{\nu}_{0y}\Gamma(x,y)\right| \leq C|x|^{-\theta}y^{\beta(1-m+\theta)-\nu-1}, \quad \theta \geq 0, \tag{3.3}$$

where C is a positive constant, holds for arbitrary $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 3.1. It follows from Lemma 3.3 that

$$\lim_{y \to 0} D^{\mu}_{0y} \Gamma(x, y) = 0, \quad \forall \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x \neq 0. \tag{3.4}$$

Hence, by virtue of the next connecting Rimman-Liouville and Caputo derevatives fomula

$$\partial^{\nu}_{ay} g(s) = D^{\nu}_{ay} g(s) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{g^{(k)}(a)}{\Gamma(k - \nu + 1)} |y - a|^{k-\nu},$$

where $n - 1 < \nu \leq n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain the equality (3.1).

Lemma 3.4. *The relation $\mathbf{L}\Gamma(x, y) = 0$ holds for all $(x, y) \in \Omega$.*

Lemma 3.5. *The relation $\mathbf{L}^{*}\Gamma(x - t, y - s) = 0$ holds for all $(t, s) \in \Omega_y$ and for fixed $(x, y) \in \Omega$.*

Lemma 3.6. *The relation*

$$\lim_{s \to y} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} q(t) D^{\alpha-1}_{ys} \Gamma(x - t, y - s) dt = q(x), \quad x_1 < x < x_2.$$

holds for each function $g(x) \in C[x_1, x_2]$, $a_1 \leq x_1 < x_2 \leq a_2$,

Lemma 3.7. *The relation*

$$\lim_{t \to x \pm 0} \int_{\delta}^{y} p(s) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Gamma(x - t, y - s) ds = \mp \frac{1}{2} p(y), \quad 0 \leq \delta < y,$$

holds for each function $p(y) \in C[\delta, T]$.

Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6, together with Remark 3.1, imply Theorem 2.1.

Let us prove Theorem 2.2.

P r o o f. Let (x, y) is some fixed point in Ω, and δ, ε, and r be positive real numbers such that $y > \delta > 0$, $a_1 + \varepsilon < x - r$, and $x + r < a_2 - \varepsilon$. By using the relations [15, p. 34]

$$\int_{\delta}^{y} f(s) D^{\nu}_{\delta s} h(s) ds = \int_{\delta}^{y} h(s) D^{\nu}_{ys} f(s) ds, \quad D^{\nu}_{0s} h(s) = I^{\nu}_{0\delta} h(s) + D^{\nu}_{\delta s} h(s),$$

where $\nu < 0$,

$$I^{\nu}_{0\delta}h(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(-\nu)}\int\limits_0^{\delta} h(\xi)(s-\xi)^{-\nu-1}d\xi,$$

the integration by parts formula, and by virtue of (2.5), we transform the integral

$$\int\limits_{\delta}^{y} G(x,y;t,s)\left(\partial^{\alpha}_{0s} + b\partial^{\beta}_{0s}\right)u(t,s)ds$$

$$= \int\limits_{\delta}^{y} G(x,y;t,s)\left[\left(I^{\alpha-n}_{0\delta} + D^{\alpha-n}_{\delta s}\right)\frac{\partial^n}{\partial s^n} + b\left(I^{\beta-1}_{0\delta} + D^{\beta-1}_{\delta s}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right]u(t,s)ds$$

$$= \int\limits_{\delta}^{y} u(t,s)\left(D^{\alpha}_{ys} + bD^{\beta}_{ys}\right)G(x,y;t,s)ds - \sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{\partial^{k-1}}{\partial s^{k-1}}u(t,s)D^{\alpha-k}_{ys}G(x,y;t,s)\big|_{s=\delta}$$

$$-bu(t,s)D^{\beta-1}_{ys}G(x,y;t,s)\big|_{s=\delta} + \int\limits_{\delta}^{y} G(x,y;t,s)\left(I^{\alpha-n}_{0\delta}\frac{\partial^n}{\partial s^n} + bI^{\beta-1}_{0\delta}\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right)u(t,s)ds.$$

Set $B^{\varepsilon}_x = \{t : t \in [a_1+\varepsilon, x-r] \cup [x+r, a_2-\varepsilon]\}$. By virtue of the last relation and the relation $Gu_{tt} - uG_{tt} = (Gu_t - uG_t)_t$, we have

$$\int\limits_{\delta}^{y} ds \int\limits_{B^{\varepsilon}_x} [G(x,y;t,s)\mathbf{L}u(t,s) - u(t,s)\mathbf{L}^{*}G(x,y;t,s)]dt$$

$$= -\int\limits_{B^{\varepsilon}_x}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{\partial^{k-1}}{\partial s^{k-1}}u(t,s)D^{\alpha-k}_{ys}G(x,y;t,s) + bu(t,s)D^{\beta-1}_{ys}G(x,y;t,s)\right]\big|_{s=\delta}dt$$

$$+\sum_{i=1}^{2}(-1)^{i+1}\int\limits_{\delta}^{y}[G(x,y;\bar{a}_i,\delta)u_t(\bar{a}_i,s) - G_t(x,y;\bar{a}_i,\delta)u(\bar{a}_i,s)]ds$$

$$-\int\limits_{\delta}^{y}[G_t(x,y;x+r,s)u(x+r,s) - G_t(x,y;x-r,s)u(x-r,s)]dt$$

$$+\int\limits_{\delta}^{y}[G(x,y;x+r,s)u_t(x+r,s) - G(x,y;x-r,s)u_t(x-r,s)]dt$$

$$+\int\limits_{B_x^\varepsilon}\int\limits_{\delta}^{y} G(x,y;t,s)\left[I_{0\delta}^{\alpha-n}\frac{\partial^n}{\partial s^n}+bI_{0\delta}^{\beta-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right]u(t,s)ds, \tag{3.5}$$

where $\bar{a}_i = a_i - (-1)^i\varepsilon$, $(i=1,2)$.

Since $u(x,y), u_y(x,y) \in C((a_1,a_2)\times[0;T])$, then the estimates [20]

$$\left|I_{0\delta}^{\beta-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial s}u(t,s)\right| \le C_0\left[(s-\delta)^{-\beta}+\omega_0(\delta)s^{-\beta}-s^{-\beta}\right],$$

$$\left|I_{0\delta}^{\alpha-n}\frac{\partial^n}{\partial s^n}u(t,s)\right| \le C_n\left[(s-\delta)^{n-1-\alpha}+(\omega_{n-1}(\delta)-1)\,s^{n-1-\alpha}\right],$$

are valid, where $\omega_i(\delta)=\sup\limits_{t\in J}\left|\frac{\partial^i}{\partial s^i}u(t,\delta)-\frac{\partial^i}{\partial s^i}u(t,0)\right|$, $i=0,n-1$.

Let us compute the following integral

$$I_{\gamma,\nu}(\omega,\delta;y)=\int\limits_{\delta}^{y}\left[(s-\delta)^{-\gamma}+\omega s^{-\gamma}\right](y-s)^{\nu-1}ds, \quad \nu>0, \quad \gamma<1.$$

By replacing the integration variables $s=y-(y-\delta)\xi$, we obtain

$$I_{\gamma,\nu}(\omega,\delta;y)=(y-\delta)^{\nu-\gamma}\int\limits_0^1(1-\xi)^{-\gamma}\xi^{\nu-1}d\xi$$

$$+\omega y^{-\gamma}(y-\delta)^{\nu}\int\limits_0^1\left(1-\frac{y-\delta}{y}\xi\right)^{-\gamma}\xi^{\nu-1}d\xi=(y-\delta)^{\nu-\gamma}B(1-\gamma,\nu)$$

$$+\omega y^{-\gamma}(y-\delta)^{\nu}B(\nu,1)F\left(\gamma,\nu,\nu+1;\frac{y-\delta}{y}\right), \tag{3.6}$$

where $B(a,b)$ is the beta function, $F(a,b,c;z)$ is the hipergeometric function.

By using the relation [15, c. 65]

$$\lim_{z\to 1-0}F(\gamma,\nu,\nu+1;z)=\frac{\Gamma(\nu+1)\Gamma(1-\gamma)}{\Gamma(\nu+1-\gamma)},$$

we obtain

$$\lim_{\substack{\delta\to 0\\ \omega\to -1}} I_{\gamma,\nu}(\omega,\delta;y)=0. \tag{3.7}$$

By virtue of relation (3.6) and estimate

$$|\Gamma(x,y)|\le C|x|^{-\theta}y^{\beta(1+\theta)-1}, \quad \theta\ge 0, \tag{3.8}$$

which follows from (3.3), we see that

$$\left| \int_{\delta}^{y} G(x,y;t,s) \left(I_{0\delta}^{\alpha-n} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial s^n} + b I_{0\delta}^{\beta-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \right) u(t,s) ds \right|$$

$$\leq C_0 I_{\beta,\beta(1+\theta)}[\omega_0(\delta)-1,\delta;y] + C_1 I_{\alpha-n+1,\beta(1+\theta)}[\omega_{n-1}(\delta)-1,\delta;y]. \quad (3.9)$$

By successively letting r, δ, and ε tend to zero in relation (3.5) and by taking into account the relations (2.2), (2.4), (3.6), (3.7), (3.9), relation

$$\lim_{t\to x+0} \int_{\delta}^{y} u(x,s)\Gamma_t(x-t,y-s)ds - \lim_{t\to x-0} \int_{\delta}^{y} u(x,s)\Gamma_t(x-t,y-s)ds = -u(x,y),$$

which is valid in view of Lemma 3.7 and relation $\lim\limits_{t\to x+0} G = \lim\limits_{t\to x-0} G$ we obtain (2.3).

□

4. **Proof of the existence theorem**

The rightness of Theorem 2.3 implies from the following assertions.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let the functions $\tau_k(x)$ $(k=1,n)$ satisfy the conditions*

$$\begin{gathered} \tau_n(x) \in C[a_1,a_2]; \\ \tau_1(x) \in C^{1,q}[a_1,a_2], \quad q > \tfrac{1-\beta}{\beta}, \quad \text{for } n=2. \end{gathered} \quad (4.1)$$

Then function

$$u_0(x,y) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{a_1}^{a_2} \tau_k(t) \left[D_{0y}^{\alpha-k} + (2-k) b D_{0y}^{\beta-k} \right] \Gamma(x-t,y)dt \quad (4.2)$$

is a solution of the equation (1.1) in the class $\partial_{0y}^{\alpha} u_0$, $\partial_{0y}^{\beta} u_0$, $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u_0 \in C(\Omega)$, and satisfies the conditions

$$\lim_{y\to 0} \frac{\partial^{k-1}}{\partial y^{k-1}} u_0(x,y) = \tau_k(x), \quad a_1 < x < a_2, \quad k=1,n. \quad (4.3)$$

P r o o f. It follows from Lemmas 3.1, 3.3 and 3.4 that the function $u_0(x,y)$ is a solution of equation (1.1) such that $\partial_{0y}^{\alpha} u_0$, $\partial_{0y}^{\beta} u_0$, $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u_0 \in C(\Omega)$.

Let us show that $u_0(x,y)$ satisfies condition (4.3). The formula [13]

$$\lim_{y\to 0} D_{0y}^{k(\beta-1)} \int_{a_1}^{a_2} \tau(t)\Gamma(x-t,s)ds = 0,$$

holds for all $\tau(x) \in C[a_1, a_2]$. By seting $k = 1$ and $k = 2$ in the last relation we obtain respectively

$$\lim_{y\to 0} \int_{a_1}^{a_2} \tau_2(t) D_{0y}^{\alpha-2} \Gamma(x-t, s) ds = 0, \quad \alpha \in (1, 2), \tag{4.4}$$

$$\lim_{y\to 0} \int_{a_1}^{a_2} \tau_1(t) D_{0y}^{\beta-1} \Gamma(x-t, s) ds = 0. \quad \alpha \in (0, 2). \tag{4.5}$$

By virtue of Lemma 3.6 we obtain

$$\lim_{y\to 0} \int_{a_1}^{a_2} \tau_1(t) D_{0y}^{\alpha-1} \Gamma(x-t, s) ds = \tau_1(x), \quad \alpha \in (0, 2). \tag{4.6}$$

It follows from relations (4.5) and (4.6) that for $n = 1$ the next relation holds:

$$\lim_{y\to 0} u_0(x, y) = \tau_1(x).$$

Consider the case $n = 2$. By virtue of Lemma 3.1 and defferentiation (4.2), we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} u_0(x, y) = \int_{a_1}^{a_2} \tau_2(t) D_{0y}^{\alpha-1} \Gamma(x-t, y) dt + \int_{a_1}^{a_2} \tau_1(t) \left[D_{0y}^{\alpha} + b D_{0y}^{\beta} \right] \Gamma(x-t, y) dt. \tag{4.7}$$

In paper [13] was shoun that the relation

$$\lim_{y\to 0} \int_{a_1}^{a_2} \tau_1(t) \left[D_{0y}^{\alpha} + b D_{0y}^{\beta} \right] \Gamma(x-t, y) dt = 0, \quad \alpha \in (1, 2), \tag{4.8}$$

holds for each function $\tau_1(x) \in C^{1,q}[a_1, a_2]$, $q > \frac{1-\beta}{\beta}$. From Lemma 3.6 we obtain the relation

$$\lim_{y\to 0} \int_{a_1}^{a_2} \tau_2(t) D_{0y}^{\alpha-1} \Gamma(x-t, y) dt = \tau_2(x). \tag{4.9}$$

Thus, the relations (4.4) – (4.6) imply that the relation (4.3) holds for $k = 1$, and the relations (4.6) – (4.9) imply that the relation (4.3) holds for $k = 2$. □

Lemma 4.2. *Let $f(x,y) \in C(\bar{\Omega})$, $f_y(x,y) \in C(\Omega) \cup L(\Omega)$. Then the function*

$$u_f(x,y) = \int\limits_0^y \int\limits_{a_1}^{a_2} \Gamma(x-t, y-s) f(t,s) dt ds \tag{4.10}$$

is a regular solution of equation (1.1) in domain Ω satisfying the homogenous condition (4.3).

P r o o f. For $\alpha \in (0,1]$ we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} u_f(x,y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \int\limits_0^y \int\limits_{a_1}^{a_2} \Gamma(x-t,s) f(t, y-s) dt ds$$

$$= \int\limits_{a_1}^{a_2} \Gamma(x-t,y) f(t,0) dt + \int\limits_0^y \int\limits_{a_1}^{a_2} \Gamma(x-t, y-s) f_s(t,s) dt ds.$$

Thus

$$\left(\partial_{0y}^{\alpha} + b\partial_{0y}^{\beta}\right) u_f(x,y) = \int\limits_{a_1}^{a_2} \left(D_{0y}^{\alpha-1} + bD_{0y}^{\beta-1}\right) \Gamma(x-t,y) f(t,0) dt$$

$$+ \int\limits_0^y \int\limits_{a_1}^{a_2} \left(D_{ys}^{\alpha-1} + bD_{ys}^{\beta-1}\right) \Gamma(x-t, y-s) f_s(t,s) dt ds. \tag{4.11}$$

For $\alpha \in (1,2)$, by taking into account the relation (3.4) with $\mu = 0$, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} u_f(x,y) = \int\limits_0^y \int\limits_{a_1}^{a_2} \Gamma_y(x-t, y-s) f(t,s) dt ds, \tag{4.12}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} u_f(x,y) = \int\limits_{a_1}^{a_2} \Gamma_y(x-t,y) f(t,0) dt + \int\limits_0^y \int\limits_{a_1}^{a_2} \Gamma_y(x-t, y-s) f_s(t,s) dt ds.$$

Thus

$$\left(\partial_{0y}^{\alpha} + b\partial_{0y}^{\beta}\right) u_f(x,y) = D_{0y}^{\alpha-2} \int\limits_{a_1}^{a_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \Gamma(x-t,y) f(t,0) dt$$

$$+ D_{0y}^{\alpha-2} \int\limits_0^y \int\limits_{a_1}^{a_2} \Gamma_y(x-t, y-s) f_s(t,s) dt ds + D_{0y}^{\beta-1} \int\limits_0^y \int\limits_{a_1}^{a_2} \Gamma_y(x-t, y-s) f(t,s) dt ds. \tag{4.13}$$

The estimate (3.3) implies that $\partial_{0y}^{\alpha} u_f, \partial_{0y}^{\beta} u_f \in C(\Omega)$.

Let us find the derivative $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u_f(x,y)$. For this end, we consider the function

$$F_{\delta_1,\delta_2}(x,y) = \int_0^y ds \int_{B_x} \Gamma_x(x-t, y-s) f(t,s) dt,$$

where $B_x = \{t : t \in [a_1, x-\delta_1] \cup [x+\delta_2, a_2]\}$. Obviously, that

$$\lim_{\substack{\delta_1 \to 0 \\ \delta_2 \to 0}} F_{\delta_1,\delta_2}(x,y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} u_f(x,y) \in C(\Omega).$$

By using Lemma 3.5 we derive the derivative of the function $F_{\delta_1,\delta_2}(x,y)$ by variable x :

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} F_{\delta_1,\delta_2}(x,y) = \int_0^y \Gamma_x(\delta_1, y-s) f(x-\delta_1, s) ds - \int_0^y \Gamma_x(-\delta_2, y-s) f(x+\delta_2, s) ds$$

$$+ \int_0^y ds \int_{B_x} f(t,s) \left(D_{ys}^{\alpha} + b D_{ys}^{\beta} \right) \Gamma(x-t, y-s) dt$$

$$+ c \int_0^y ds \int_{B_x} f(t,s) \Gamma(x-t, y-s) dt. \tag{4.14}$$

We denote the third summand in right hand side of (4.14) via $J_{\delta_1,\delta_2}(x,y)$. Then, by integration by parts, for $\alpha \in (0,1]$ $(n=1)$ we have

$$J_{\delta_1,\delta_2}(x,y) = -\int_0^y ds \int_{B_x} f(t,s) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left(D_{ys}^{\alpha-1} + b D_{ys}^{\beta-1} \right) \Gamma(x-t, y-s) dt$$

$$= \left(D_{0y}^{\alpha-1} + b D_{0y}^{\beta-1} \right) \int_{B_x} \left[f(t,0) \Gamma(x-t, y) + \int_0^y f_s(t,s) \Gamma(x-t, y-s) ds \right] dt.$$

From the last relation and (4.14) we obtain

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u_f(x,y) = \lim_{\substack{\delta_1 \to 0 \\ \delta_2 \to 0}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} F_{\delta_1,\delta_2}(x,y) = -f(x,y) + c u_f(x,y)$$

$$+ \left(D_{0y}^{\alpha-1} + b D_{0y}^{\beta-1} \right) \int_{a_1}^{a_2} \left[f(t,0) \Gamma(x-t, y) + \int_0^y f_s(t,s) \Gamma(x-t, y-s) ds \right] dt. \tag{4.15}$$

For $\alpha \in (1,2)$ $(n=2)$ we have

$$J_{\delta_1,\delta_2}(x,y) = \int\limits_0^y ds \int\limits_{B_x} f(t,s) \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2} D_{ys}^{\alpha-2} - b \frac{\partial}{\partial s} D_{ys}^{\beta-1} \right) \Gamma(x-t, y-s) dt$$

$$= D_{0y}^{\alpha-2} \int\limits_{B_x} \left[f(t,0)\Gamma_y(x-t,y) + \int\limits_0^y f_s(t,s)\Gamma_y(x-t,y-s)ds \right] dt$$

$$+ bD_{0y}^{\beta-1} \int\limits_0^y ds \int\limits_{B_x} f(t,s)\Gamma_y(x-t,y-s)dt.$$

Hence,

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u_f(x,y) = \lim_{\substack{\delta_1 \to 0 \\ \delta_2 \to 0}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} F_{\delta_1,\delta_2}(x,y) = -f(x,y) + cu_f(x,y)$$

$$+ D_{0y}^{\alpha-2} \int\limits_{a_1}^{a_2} f(t,0)\Gamma_y(x-t,y)dt + D_{0y}^{\alpha-2} \int\limits_0^y \int\limits_{a_1}^{a_2} f_s(t,s)\Gamma_y(x-t,y-s)dtds$$

$$+ bD_{0y}^{\beta-1} \int\limits_0^y ds \int\limits_{a_1}^{a_2} f(t,s)\Gamma_y(x-t,y-s)dt. \tag{4.16}$$

It follows from the relations (4.10), (4.11), (4.13), (4.16) and (3.3) that

$$\mathbf{L}u_f(x,y) = f(x,y),$$

moreover $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u_f$, $\partial_{0y}^{\alpha} u_f$, $\partial_{0y}^{\beta} u_f \in C(\Omega)$.

From estimate (3.8) and the relation (4.10) it is easy to see that $u_f(x,0) = 0$, for $\alpha \in (0,2)$. By virtue of the relation (4.12) and the estimate

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \Gamma(x,y) \right| \le C|x|^{-\theta} y^{\beta+\beta\theta-2}, \quad \theta \ge 0,$$

which follows from (3.3), and by taking into account the fact that the inequality $\frac{1-\beta}{\beta} < 1$ holds for $\beta > \frac{1}{2}$, we obtain that the relation $\frac{\partial}{\partial y} u_f(x,0) = 0$ holds for $\alpha \in (1,2)$. □

The following two lemmas are proved in paper [13].

Lemma 4.3. *The asymptotic relations*

$$\frac{\partial^m}{\partial x^m} D_{0y}^{\nu} \Gamma(x,y) = O\left(\exp\left(-\rho_\beta(y)|x|^{\varepsilon} \right) \right), \quad \beta - \nu - \beta m \ge 0, \tag{4.17}$$

$$\frac{\partial^m}{\partial x^m} D_{0y}^{\nu} \Gamma(x,y) = O\left(\exp\left(-\rho_\beta^*(y)|x|^{\varepsilon} \right) \right), \quad \beta - \nu - \beta m < 1,$$

hold as $|x| \to \infty$, *where* $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$, $\rho_\beta(y) = (1-\beta)\left(\beta y^{-1}\right)^{\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}}$, $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{1-\beta}$, $\rho^*_\beta(y) = \rho_\beta(y)\theta - \delta$, *and* θ *and* δ *are arbitrary numbers in the interval* $]0,1[$.

LEMMA 4.4. *Let* $\tau_k(x) \in C(-\infty, +\infty)$, $y^{n-\alpha} f(x,y) \in C(\bar{\Omega})$, *and let the relations (2.8) hold as* $|x| \to \infty$. *Then the following inclusions hold*

$$\int_{x\pm\delta}^{\pm\infty} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial x^m} D^\nu_{0y}\Gamma(x-t,y)\tau_k(t)dt, \int_0^y \int_{x\pm\delta}^{\pm\infty} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial x^m} D^\nu_{ys}\Gamma(x-t,y-s)f(t,s)dtds \in C(\Omega),$$

where $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ *and* $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$.

REMARK 4.1. If the additional conditions (2.8) are satisfied, then by using Lemmas 4.3, 4.4 and Remark 3.1, one can readilly see that Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 hold for the case in which both a_1 and a_2 or one of them is infinite.

5. **Proof of the uniqueness theorem**

Let us prove Theorem 2.4.

P r o o f. Let $h_r(t)$ be a function such that $h_r(t) \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$,

$$h_r(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & |t| \le r, \\ 0, & |t| \ge r+1. \end{cases}$$

$0 \le h_r(t) \le 1$, $|h'_r(t)| \le C$, and $|h''_r(t)| \le C$, where $C = \text{const} > 0$.

It follows from Lemma 3.5 that

$$\mathbf{L}^* h_r(t)\Gamma(x-t;y-s) = -h''_r(t)\Gamma(x-t,y-s) - 2h'_r(t)\Gamma_t(x-t,y-s). \quad (5.1)$$

By virtue of the relation (5.1) and Theorem 2.2, we find that the regular solution of the homogeneous problem (1.1), (2.6) in the domain

$$\Omega_r = \{(x,y) : |x| < r+1, 0 < y < T\}$$

can be represented in the form

$$u(x,y) = \int_0^y \left(\int_{-r-1}^{-r} + \int_r^{r+1} \right) [h''_r\Gamma + 2h'_r\Gamma_t]u(t,s)dtds. \quad (5.2)$$

By taking into account the estimate (4.17) and the fact that, by virtue of (2.10), the inequality

$$|u(x,y)| \le C\exp(k|x|^\varepsilon),$$

which holds for sufficiently large r, from relation (5.2) we obtain

$$|u(x,y)| \le C\left(\int\limits_{-r-1}^{-r} + \int\limits_{r}^{r+1}\right)\int\limits_{0}^{y} \exp\left[-\rho_\beta(s)|x-t|^\varepsilon + k|t|^\varepsilon\right] dsdt$$
$$= I_+(x,y) + I_-(x,y), \tag{5.3}$$

where $\rho_\beta(y) = \sigma y^{-\beta\varepsilon}$,

$$I_\pm(x,y) = C\int\limits_{r}^{r+1}\int\limits_{0}^{y} \exp[-\rho_\beta(s)|x\pm t|^\varepsilon + k|t|^\varepsilon]dsdt.$$

It is easy to see that, for $0 < t < \infty$, the function

$$f_\pm(t) = -\rho_1|x\pm t|^\varepsilon + k|t|^\varepsilon$$

has the unique maximum

$$\max f_\pm(t) = \mu|x|^\varepsilon, \quad \mu = k\rho_1\left(\rho_1^\gamma - k^\gamma\right)^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}}, \quad \gamma = \frac{1}{\varepsilon-1}. \tag{5.4}$$

The inequalities $k < \rho_1 = \sigma T_1^{-\beta\varepsilon} < \rho_\beta(y) = \sigma y^{-\beta\varepsilon}$, hold for all $y < T_1 = \beta[(1-\beta)/\sigma]^{(1-\beta)/\beta}$, therefore, by (5.4), we have

$$I_\pm(x,y) = C\int\limits_{r}^{r+1}\int\limits_{0}^{y} \exp\left[-\rho_2(s)|x\pm t|^\varepsilon\right]\exp\left[-\rho_1|x\pm t|^\varepsilon + k|t|^\varepsilon\right] dsdt$$
$$\le Ce^{\mu|x|^\varepsilon}\int\limits_{0}^{y} ds\int\limits_{r}^{r+1}\exp\left[-\rho_2(s)|x\pm t|^\varepsilon\right]dt$$
$$\le Ce^{\mu|x|^\varepsilon}\int\limits_{0}^{y}[F(r\pm x,s) - F(r+1\pm x,s)]ds, \tag{5.5}$$

where $\rho_2(s) = \rho_\beta(s) - \rho_1$, and $F(x,s) = \frac{\rho_2(s)}{\varepsilon}x^{1-\varepsilon}\exp[-\rho_2(s)x^\varepsilon]$. Since, for fixed x, the maximum of the function $F(x,s)$ is attained at $\rho_2(s) = x^{-\varepsilon}$, i.e.,

$$\max_{0<s<T_0} F(x,s) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}x^{1-2\varepsilon}\exp(-1),$$

it follows from (5.5) that

$$0 \le I_\pm(x,y) \le \frac{C}{\varepsilon}e^{\mu|x|^\varepsilon - 1}y\left[(r\pm x)^{1-2\varepsilon} + (r+1\pm x)^{1-2\varepsilon}\right].$$

This, together with the relations $1-2\varepsilon = -\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta} < 0$ implies that

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} I_\pm(x,y) = 0. \tag{5.6}$$

Now it follows from the estimate (5.3) and the relation (5.6) that $u(x,y) \equiv 0$ in the domain

$$\Omega_1 = \{(x,y) : x \in \mathbb{R},\ 0 < y \le T_1\}.$$

Let us show that $u(x,y) \equiv 0$ in the strip

$$\Omega_2 = \{(x,y) : x \in \mathbb{R},\ T_1 < y \le 2T_1\}.$$

Consider the function $v(x,z) = u(x, T_1 + z)$, where $z = y - T_1$. Since $u(x,y) \equiv 0$ in Ω_1, we have

$$D^{\nu}_{0y} u(x,y) = D^{\nu}_{T_1 y} u(x,y) = D^{\nu}_{0z} v(x,z).$$

Hence it follows that for arbitrary $(x,z) \in \Omega_1$, the function $v(x,z)$ is a solution of the homogeneous Cauchy problem. Therefore, the above argument implies that $v(x,z) \equiv 0$ for all $(x,z) \in \Omega_1$. Consequently, $u(x,y) \equiv 0$ for all $(x,y) \in \Omega_2$.

In the same way, one can show that $u(x,y) \equiv 0$ in

$$\Omega_3 = \{(x,y) : x \in \mathbb{R},\ 2T_1 < y \le 3T_1\}$$

and so on. □

6. **Green functions and representations of solutions**

In this section we give the statements of the basic boundary value problems for equation (1.1) and present their solutions in term of the appropriate Green functions.

Problem $\mathbf{P_i}(\mathbf{i = 0, 1})$. In the domain $\Omega_+ = \{(x,y) : x > a_1, 0 < y < T\}$, find a solution $u(x,y)$ of equation (1.1) with the conditions

$$\frac{\partial^{k-1}}{\partial y^{k-1}} u(x,0) = \tau_k(x), \quad a_1 < x < \infty, \quad k = 1, n,$$

$$\frac{\partial^{i}}{\partial x^{i}} u(a_1, y) = \varphi(y), \quad 0 < y < T,$$

where the $\tau_k(x)$ $(k = 1, n)$, and $\varphi(y)$ are given functions.

Problem $\mathbf{P_{ij}}(\mathbf{i, j = 0, 1})$. In the domain $\Omega = \{(x,y) : a_1 < x < a_2, 0 < y < T\}$, find a solution $u(x,y)$ of equation (1.1) with the conditions (2.2) and

$$\frac{\partial^{i}}{\partial x^{i}} u(a_1, y) = \varphi_1(y), \quad \frac{\partial^{j}}{\partial x^{j}} u(a_2, y) = \varphi_2(y), \quad 0 < y < T,$$

where the $\tau_k(x)$ $k = 1, n$, $\varphi_1(y)$ and $\varphi_2(y)$ are given functions.

Thus the problems P_0 and P_1 are the boundary value problems in half-strip with first and second kind boundary conditions respetively, and problems P_{00}, P_{11}, and P_{01} and P_{10} are the first, second and mixed boundary value problems for equation (1.1) respectively.

DEFINITION 6.1. The function $G(x,y;t,s)=\Gamma(x-t,y-s)-V(x,y;t,s)$, which satisfied the conditions

$$\lim_{t\to a_1}\frac{\partial^i}{\partial t^i}G(x,y;t,s)=0,\quad y\neq s;\quad \lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k}G(x,y;t,s)=0,\quad k=0,1,$$

or

$$\lim_{t\to a_1}\frac{\partial^i}{\partial t^i}G(x,y;t,s)=0,\ \lim_{t\to a_2}\frac{\partial^j}{\partial t^j}G(x,y;t,s)=0,\ y\neq s,$$

where $V\equiv V(x,y;t,s)$ is a function under condition of Theorem 2.2, with $h(x,y;t,s)\equiv 0$, we will call *a Green function of the problem* P_i or P_{ij} for equation (1.1) respectively, $(i,j=0,1)$.

Lemmas 3.3 and 3.5 imply the following assertion.

THEOREM 6.1. *The function*

$$G_i(x,y;t,s)=\Gamma(x-t,y-s)+(-1)^{i+1}\Gamma(x+t-2a_1,y-s),$$

is a Green function of problem P_i, $(i=0,1)$.

THEOREM 6.2. *The function*

$$G_{ij}(x,y;t,s)=\sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty}(-1)^{(i+j)m}\Big[\Gamma(X_1^m,y-s)+(-1)^{i+1}\Gamma(X_2^m,y-s)\Big],$$

where

$$X_1^m=2m(a_2-a_1)+x-t,\quad X_2^m=2m(a_2-a_1)+x+t-2a_1,$$

is a Green function of problem P_{ij}, $(i,j=0,1)$.

We denote via $u_i(x,y)$ and $u_{ij}(x,y)$ the solutions of problems P_i and P_{ij} respectively, $(i,j=0,1)$.

It follows from theorems 2.2, 6.1 and 6.2 that the function $u_i(x,y)$ has a form

$$u_i(x,y)=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\int_{a_1}^{\infty}\tau_k(t)\left[D_{0y}^{\alpha-k}+(2-k)bD_{0y}^{\beta-k}\right]G_i(x,y;t,0)dt$$

$$+(-1)^i\int_0^y\frac{\partial^{1-i}}{\partial t^{1-i}}G_i(x,y;a_1,s)\varphi_1(s)ds+\int_0^y\int_{a_1}^{\infty}G_i(x,y;t,s)f(t,s)dtds,\quad(6.1)$$

and function $u_{ij}(x,y)$ has a form

$$u_{ij}(x,y)=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\int\limits_{a_1}^{a_2}\tau_k(t)\left[D_{0y}^{\alpha-k}+(2-k)bD_{0y}^{\beta-k}\right]G_{ij}(x,y;t,0)dt$$

$$+\int\limits_0^y\left[(-1)^i\frac{\partial^{1-i}}{\partial t^{1-i}}G_{ij}(x,y;a_1,s)\varphi_1(s)-(-1)^j\frac{\partial^{1-j}}{\partial t^{1-j}}G_{ij}(x,y;a_2,s)\varphi_2(s)\right]ds$$

$$+\int\limits_0^y\int\limits_{a_1}^{a_2}G_{ij}(x,y;t,s)f(t,s)dtds.$$

The representation (6.1) holds if the functions $\tau_k(x)$ $(k=1,n)$ and $f(x,y)$ satisfy the condition (2.8) as $x\to+\infty$.

REMARK 6.1. For $b=c=0$ the equation (1.1) reduces to diffusion-wave equation

$$\partial_{0y}^{\alpha}u(x,y)-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}u(x,y)=f(x,y).$$

In this case the function (2.1) takes the form

$$\Gamma(x,y)=\frac{1}{2y}\int\limits_{|x|}^{\infty}\phi(-\beta,0;-\tau y^{-\beta})=\frac{y^{\beta-1}}{2}\phi(-\beta,\beta;-|x|y^{-\beta})$$

and the representation (2.9) of Cauchy problem solution takes the form

$$u(x,y)=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\tau_k(t)D_{0y}^{\alpha-k}y^{\beta-1}\phi(-\beta,\beta;-|x-t|y^{-\beta})dt$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty}dt\int\limits_0^y f(t,s)(y-s)^{\beta-1}\phi(-\beta,\beta;-|x-t|(y-s)^{-\beta})ds.$$

These representations coincides with the representations which were obtained in works [19] and [20].

For $f(x,y)\equiv 0$, $\tau_2(x)\equiv 0$ the last relation can be expressed as

$$u(x,y)=\frac{1}{2}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\tau_1(t)D_{0y}^{\alpha-1}y^{\beta-1}\phi(-\beta,\beta;-|x-t|y^{-\beta})dt$$

$$=\frac{1}{2}\int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\tau_1(t)y^{-\beta}\phi(-\beta,1-\beta;-|x-t|y^{-\beta})dt.$$

That is consistent with the result obtained in paper [9].

7. Conclusion

In paper the time-fractional telegraph equation is investigated by the Green function method. The integral representation of a regular solutions is obtained in the form of the Green formula for operator generating the equation (1.1). The solutions of the basic initial and initial-boundary value problems are constructed in terms of fundamental solution and appropriate Green functions.

Comparing the results of this paper with the results of the papers [12] and [13], we conclude that for the equations (1.1) and (1.4) the fundamental solutions, and corresponding Green functions coincide, but the form of initial conditions, the solutions representations, and the classes of regular solutions of corresponding problems is different. This is due to the fact that the operators ∂_{0y}^{ν} and D_{0y}^{ν} are equal for a certain class of functions, but in general have a different domains of determination.

All results can be easily extended to the fractional advection-dispersion equation (1.3).

The obtained representations can be used to solve the non-local boundary value problems for the equation (1.1), and for the realization of models described by mixed type equations, which in one of the parts of the mixed domain, coincide with equation (1.1).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Division of Nanotechnologies and Information Technologies of the Russian Academy of Sciences, project no. 5 Fundamental Problems and Technologies of Epitaxial Nanostructures and Devices Based on Them.

References

[1] T. M. Atanackovic, S. Pilipovic and D. Zorica, Diffusion wave equation with two fractional derivatives of different order. *J. Phys. A Math. Theor.* **40**, (2007), 5319-5333.

[2] E. Bazhlekova, On a nonlocal boundary value problem for the two-term time-fractional diffusion-wave equation. *AIP Conference Proceedings 01/2013*, **1561**, 172–183.

[3] R. C. Cascaval, E. C. Eckstein, C. L. Frota, and J. A. Goldstein, Fractional telegraph equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **276**, No 1 (2002), 145–159.

[4] J. Chen, F. Liu, and V. Anh, Analytical solution for the time-fractional telegraph equation by the method of separating variables. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **338**, No 2 (2008), 1364–1377.

[5] F. Huang and F. Liu, The time fractional diffusion equation and the advection-dispersion equation. *The A N Z I A M Journal.* **46**, (2005), 317–330.

[6] F. Huang, Analytic solution of the time-fractional telegraph equation. *J. Appl. Math.* **2009**, (2009), 9 p.; Article ID 890158.

[7] A.A. Kilbas, N.H.M. Srivastava and J.J. Trujillo, *Theory and applications of fractional differential equation*. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2006.

[8] F. Liu, V. V. Anh, I. Turner and P. Zhuang, Time fractional advection-dispersion equation. *J. Appl. Math. Computing.* **13**, (2003) 223–245.

[9] F. Mainardi, The fundamental solutions for the fractional diffusion-wave equation, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **9**, No 6 (1996), 23-28.

[10] M. O. Mamchuev, General representation of a solution of a fractional diffusion equation with constant coefficients in a rectangular domain. *Izv. Kabardino-Balkarsk. Nauch. Ts. RAN.* **12**, No 2 (2004), 116–118 (In Russian).

[11] M. O. Mamchuev, Boundary value problems for a fractional diffusion equation with constant coefficients. *Dokl. Adyg. (Cherkessk.) Mezhdunar. Akad. Nauk.* **7**, No 2 (2005), 38–45 (In Russian).

[12] M. O. Mamchuev, Fundamental solution of a loaded second-order parabolic equation with constant coefficients. *Differential Equations.* **51**, No 5 (2015), 620–629.

[13] M. O. Mamchuev, Modified Cauchy problem for a loaded second-order parabolic equation with constant coefficients. *Differential Equations.* **51**, No 9 (2015), 1137–1144.

[14] M. O. Mamchuev, *Boundary Value Problems for Equations and Systems of Equations with Partial Derevatives of Fractional Order*. Publishing house KBSC of RAS, Nalchik (2013) (In Russian).

[15] A. M. Nakhushev, *Fractional Calculus and Its Application*. Fizmatlit, Moscow, (2003) (In Russian).

[16] E. Orsinger, and X. Zhao, The space-fractional telegraph equation and the related fractional telegraph process. *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B.* **24**, No 1 (2003), 45–56.

[17] E. Orsinger, and L. Beghin, Time-fractional telegraph equations and telegraph processes with brownian time. *Probab. Theory Related Fields.* **128**, No 1 (2004), 141–160.

[18] A. V. Pskhu, Solution of boundary value problems for the fractional diffusion equation by the Green function method. *Differential Equations.* **39**, No 10 (2003), 1509-1513.

[19] A. V. Pskhu, *Fractional Partial Differential Equations*. Nauka, Moscow (2005) (In Russian).
[20] A. V. Pskhu, The fundamental solution of a fractional diffusion-wave equation. *Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat.* **73**, No. 2, (2009), 141–182 (In Russian).
[21] E. M. Wright, On the coecients of power series having exponential singularities. *J. London Math. Soc.* **8**, (1933), 71–79.

Institute of Applied Mathematics and Avtomatition
"Shortanov" Str., 89 A,
Nal'chik – 360000, RUSSIA

e-mail: mamchuev@rambler.ru